STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

CURTIS JUDSON REEVES,

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS: PRETRIAL HEARING

BEFORE:

HONORABLE PAT SIRACUSA

Circuit Judge

DATE:

June 30, 2015

PLACE TAKEN:

Pasco County Government Center

38053 Live Oak Avenue Dade City, FL 33523

REPORTED BY:

Maria A. Fortner, RPR

Notary Public

State of Florida at Large

ORIGINAL

Administrative Office of the Courts
Court Reporting Department
East Pasco Judicial Center
38053 Live Oak Avenue
Dade City, FL 33523

Tel. (352) 521-4375 Fax: (352) 521-4118

2015 AUG 26 AM 9: 57

0. 20 MM 30 3100

1	APPEARANCES			
2				
3	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA:			
4	THE STATE OF FLORIDA:			
5	WILLIAM A. LOUGHERY, Assistant State Attorney MANUEL GARCIA, III, Assistant State Attorney STACEY SUMNER, Assistant State Attorney Office of Bernie McCabe, State Attorney Sixth Judicial Circuit, Pinellas County, Clearwater, Fl			
6				
7				
8	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, CURTIS REEVES:			
9	RICHARD ESCOBAR, ESQUIRE			
10	DINO M. MICHAELS, ESQUIRE ESCOBAR & ASSOCIATES 2917 W. Kennedy Boulevard			
11	Tampa, Fl 33609			
12	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF			
13	THE ESTATE OF MR. OULSON:			
14	TONY JOSEPH GRIMALDI, ESQUIRE MCINTYRE THANASIDES ET AL 501 E. Kennedy Boulevard			
15	Suite 1900			
16	Tampa, F1 33602-5238			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

THE COURT: Okay. It's 4:00. Now we can start.

We are on the record in State of Florida vs. Curtis Reeves. Case Number 2014-2161.

The State is present and represented by -well, all of them again, Mr. Loughery is here,
Ms. Sumner is here, as is Mr. Garcia.

And the defense is represented by Mr. Escobar and Mr. Michaels. And the defendant is present and out of custody.

We're here on a pretrial that was set all the way back on January 30th, I believe, and it's because we have a trial set for August 24th; and I've reserved three days for an immunity hearing, if necessary, August 3rd through 5th.

So, let's see, things we left off with at our last court date, I believe you told me that on June 14th the deposition was going to be taken of the victim.

Was that accomplished, Mr. Escobar?

MR. ESCOBAR: It was, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Has the lead detective been deposed yet?

MR. ESCOBAR: Detective Smith was fully

_ A

-

deposed. We still have about a half a day of Proctor to go.

THE COURT: Okay. So besides Proctor, are there any other main State witnesses that still need to be deposed or are these all ancillary witnesses?

MR. ESCOBAR: No, Your Honor. There's still some main witnesses. At least one of the witnesses that was heavily involved in both the downloading of the phone; another witness that was involved in reviewing the video and taking excerpts of the video.

So there's still, I would say, very, very, very important witnesses. I would say probably about an additional 10 witnesses, maybe up to 15 witnesses.

THE COURT: All right. And the ID witnesses that are in the theater, are any of them remaining to be deposed?

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, the vast majority of those have been deposed. We may have one or two.

I believe two of them I think are out of the state of Florida. Just a handful. We've taken the majority of the eyewitnesses.

THE COURT: All right. And then that leaves

-3

19.

me with your expert witnesses, Mr. Escobar. Are any of them unavailable for trial on August 24th?

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, I think that based upon the state of discovery, as the Court well knows, we filed a motion to continue in this particular case.

THE COURT: I know.

MR. ESCOBAR: And based upon the amount of volume that still needs to be done, I think that they would be unavailable only because they haven't reviewed some of the stuff that they're going to need to review once we finish discovery.

THE COURT: How many of them would be unavailable? How many have you identified? Perhaps that's the easiest way to go.

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, I think that we're going to probably have in the form of experts probably anywhere between four to six experts.

THE COURT: Okay. Of the four to six experts, are any of them unavailable at this time, or you have not checked?

MR. ESCOBAR: I haven't checked, but I would think that based upon what they still need to do and the transcripts that we still need to give them, I would imagine the vast majority of them

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

would be unavailable.

THE COURT: All right. Since you've identified them and you know who they are, have you complied with the rule of discovery that indicates that you have to list them within 15 days of your receipt of the State's discovery?

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, they're not going to be experts until they determine that, in fact, they've got relevant information that they're going to be testifying about.

And as I indicated to the Court very early on, it is impossible for them to render that opinion until all of the relevant evidence has been given to them so that they can make some opinions and then we can determine whether we're going to be using them or not.

At this point in time the discovery hasn't been completed, and I would reiterate to the Court through no fault of the Defense and I think through no fault of the prosecution.

They've given us every available date that they could give us for depositions. We just don't have enough dates in order to be able to complete the depositions.

So at this point in time, no, I think that our

obligation to turn over those witnesses to the Government is going to be accomplished once these experts have examined all of the evidence, have rendered an opinion, and then we will comply with discovery.

THE COURT: All right. So you're saying that 15 months after the shooting you're still not able to determine which experts you're going to use?

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, 15 months after the shooting we still don't have some of the forensic examinations that are still being done by the prosecution.

THE COURT: Which ones are those?

MR. ESCOBAR: It's my understanding that the fingernail scrapings now from Mr. Oulson are being done. There was some discovery that we took that I think that made that probably relevant certainly for the defense and maybe relevant for the prosecution as well. It's my understanding that recently they're in the process of doing that.

THE COURT: Fingernail scrapings?

MR. ESCOBAR: Of Mr. Oulson.

THE COURT: So, then, examination of DNA that may be under the nails of Mr. Oulson who's deceased?

2 3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ESCOBAR: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything besides the nail scrapings that is being tested that you're waiting for?

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, I'm not sure. know that Mr. Garcia and I spoke last week concerning things that were still being done. not sure if there's anything else that they are doing at this point in time.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to ask them next. You know how I like to do one side and then the other.

MR. ESCOBAR: I'm aware of that.

THE COURT: All right. And medical records, have you turned over any medical records for Mr. Reeves, and do you plan on using any medical records for Mr. Reeves in the trial?

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, we will be making that determination very, very soon. Again, there are a couple of more experts that we're going to be -- a couple a more witnesses that we're going to be deposing and making that determination.

THE COURT: Help me out. Point me to the part in the rule where it says that reciprocal discovery is due by the defense after they've seen everything

10.

that the State has, reviewed their case, and decided exactly what their trial strategy is?

Because I was reading the rule last night, I read it a lot, I read it over several times trying to figure out why more than 14 months after the State began complying with discovery and you filed your notice, more than 14 months after I sat in this very courtroom and ordered the State to comply early with discovery prior to the bond hearing, why I should be contemplating that you've not yet turned over one single piece of evidence that you plan to use as reciprocal, even though obviously you're going to use medical records.

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, we I think from the very beginning of this case have been discussing the process, and I think I've told this Court a dozen times that, in fact, this is the process that we were proceeding under.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ESCOBAR: I can't make someone a witness, and a witness is not going to be relevant in this particular case unless that witness can give me some information that's going to be probative to the jury. And so he can't do that or she can't do that until we've got all of the evidence.

As the Court well knows — and I wasn't prepared today to give you the outline of discovery as we've received it — but it's not like we got discovery in this case, you know, shortly after January the 13th of 2014.

In fact, we got discovery in this case as late as, if the Court remembers, a large volume of discovery on April the 29th of 2015.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. ESCOBAR: So we can't have a witness, I can't disclose a witness to the prosecution that hasn't received all of the information necessary for that person to be a witness.

And I've been practicing for 32 years, I've done this for 32 years, and every other defense attorney that I know has done it this way for 32 years.

THE COURT: All right. Well, just dealing with today, it's coming up on 16 months since the events that bring us here — I'm sorry. It's coming up on 18 months actually since the events that bring us here, and I got a motion from you that indicates that everybody wants me to continue this case.

But I'm the one who's accountable to the

Supreme Court for my numbers, I'm the one that's accountable for moving cases along, and if all three parties — and I say all three parties, taking Ms. Oulson's considerations as well — if all three parties are asking me to continue this, I want to make sure there's good reason for it.

Because when we came in here on March 10th of 2014, Mr. Michaels made me some promises that if I set the case out four months, that things would be done, that we would get moving, and I took him at his representation because it made sense.

I told the State get that discovery to them immediately, get all the police reports, let's start getting depos done. We came back in July and not a single depo had been done. Just then it had been set, but not a single depo had been done.

So then I waited until September, again on the promises that discovery would be completed. The State hadn't completed discovery and reports were coming in. I didn't fault you for that, but I had been hoping that I would have been able to set a trial in late fall of last year.

And then I got promises that, well, if you give us time, we could be ready. I wanted February, you all were talking late March or April.

We came back in January, still a lot of stuff hadn't been done.

Again, I'm accountable to the Supreme Court, not you. My expectation for things to get going is based on the promises that I get from you. So I listened to every single pretrial that we've had since the beginning of this case. I didn't listen to the whole bond hearing. I listened to the beginning and the end because I knew that's when we'd be talking about scheduling.

And I'm just concerned that every time any period passes before there's a trial, it seems like the foot gets taken off the gas, and I don't want to do that.

So if you're asking me to continue it to

January of next year, I need to make sure that I've

covered everything. So that if I agree with what

all three parties are asking me to do, I know that

in January it's actually going to be a trial.

And right now I've not made the decision to continue the trial. Right now I said it was going to be trial on August 24th. Right now I went over, sitting in this chair in this courthouse with the two sides that were represented in speaking to me, I went over a discovery plan, I went over a

scheduling plan for all the discovery line by line, month by month.

And I know because I listened to that hearing again where we all talked about what's a reasonable amount of time to accomplish these tasks, and we're about a third of the way forward from where we should be at this time from January 30th.

So I'm not blaming you. I'm not blaming
Mr. Garcia or the State. What I'm doing is I'm
making sure that I hold true to my commitment to
the Sixth Judicial Circuit that I move all my cases
as expeditiously as I can.

So that's why I'm going through it all and I'm going to go through all of it. And if all three parties get up and ask me to continue this case, as long as I have commitments as to what's going to happen, I'll go along with it, if all three parties are asking me to continue this case today.

But I am always mindful that the right to a trial is not the right to a perfect trial. The right to a trial is a trial where the protections are accorded to both sides and they're reasonable. I understand it's obviously very important, but perfect is tough. We're not going to get perfect; we're going to get as close as we can, but I'm not

5,

going to wait forever to try this case.

And since we didn't make any of the goals that we set on January 30th, it's got to be because I wasn't specific enough with everybody. So that's why I'm going to be as specific as possible.

I'm going to go over everything absolutely.

So I need to know the day that you're going to disclose those witnesses to the State, because you're telling me you need more time.

I read in the rule last night, as I poured over it again and again, that I'm allowed to set that day if I don't think that you're going to do it without me setting a day.

So maybe I need to set the day, and that's why I'm thinking I'm going to pick a day today. So I'm going to be asking you when is that day going to be in your mind, and hopefully it will be the same one as mine.

So what's that day going to be that you're going to hand over this discovery?

MR. ESCOBAR: Well, I think we listed it in my motion. The State and the Defense had a meeting where we discussed at length what we thought was reasonable.

THE COURT: Yeah, I saw that.

4_.

MR. ESCOBAR: And so we have set the completion of depositions by early August.

This afternoon I had spoken to Mr. Garcia again about getting about four or five days in early August to complete the remaining depos.

We've got depos set in this case all the way through July the 31st. So I believe that we can complete the depositions by early August.

Now, if the Court recalls, and I think the prosecution will tell you, nobody has been taking their foot off the pedal for the defense.

I have taken every available date that we could possibly muster in this particular case, and I think Mr. Garcia will tell you that, every date that we could to take depos.

Nobody is dragging their feet. Nobody is taking their foot off the pedal. We are doing everything humanly possible. But if Mr. Garcia, through the volume of cases that he has as well, doesn't have available days for depositions, what is the Court suggesting that we do? Not take them?

THE COURT: I didn't suggest that.

MR. ESCOBAR: We have to take them. And so we've been accommodating that whole process as best as we can.

.

So I think that by early August of 2015, I believe that we can certainly have the depositions completed. As I've listed in my motion, I believe that by early September 2015, we'll be able to provide the State our witness list.

By September and October, I believe that the State has agreed with us that we'll make the dates available for them to take the depos of our witnesses.

So I think that by sometime in October that whole process of the State taking our depositions or the depositions of our witnesses will be completed.

We believe that we can file a motion for the statutory immunity by sometime in October. We believe then that the Court can hold a hearing and we would be prepared for that hearing on an immunity motion sometime in early November.

In fact, in coming over here, we were taking a look at the calendar to see what we thought would possibly be the best day. I believe there's a November the 16th week --

THE COURT: I can give you that week:

MR. ESCOBAR: — that we think we could do that particular motion. So we've listed out, and

then actually starting the trial the second week of January. I haven't pulled up that calendar.

THE COURT: I happen to have it with me.

The second week in January, though, if you mean the second full week, it begins with a court holiday. So I wouldn't have the room available on January 18th.

Well, I can begin January 11. We're just going to have Martin Luther King day in the middle of it, so the first Monday would go away.

MR. ESCOBAR: Can we pick January the 18th?

THE COURT: Well, January 18th, that's the holiday. So we won't be here.

I can do January 11th. We can go through and pick 11th, 12th and 13th, hopefully seat a panel.

The 14th opening, afternoon of the 14th into the 15th for the State.

And then you've got the long weekend to work with your witnesses. You come back on the 19th, 20th, 21st, hopefully the State is wrapping up.

By the 21st, you all can make some decisions on what needs to be done. We can either come back on the 22nd or on the 25th for the Defense's case if it's necessary and you choose to put on a case.

And then the 25th -- unless the State is

8.

. 20

asking for me to do it, in which case we would do it on the 19th — we'd come back on the 25th, and it would either be a Monday or Tuesday that we'd be doing the viewing at the theater.

If anybody is asking for one, it's going to be on a morning, Monday or Tuesday. We're not doing it so that we interrupt the business that those people have going on at the theater.

So we're not going to ruin their weekend.

We're not going to ruin a Thursday or Friday when they have a new release. So it's going to be a Monday or Tuesday.

MR. ESCOBAR: That would probably work with the Defense, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So by September 11th, an easily memorable day, by September 11th, I can have your firm commitment and I would put in an order that you would disclose the names of your experts and any medical records of the defendant that you intend to use, correct?

MR. ESCOBAR: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So if I continued it, you would make yourself available for depositions for the State to depos any of your experts in October.

And then we would have November 16th

through — three days should be more than enough time for the immunity hearing if it becomes necessary and you file it. So we'd have November 16th through the 18th for the stand your ground motion, if one gets filed.

I would put a light calendar for myself on the 19th, and I would get a commitment from the chief judge that I'd have a senior judge available for me if it looked like we needed one.

MR. ESCOBAR: That would be November 16th?

THE COURT: Yeah. November 16th we'd start.

We'd do it the 16th, 17th and 18th. That means
that I'd need your motion filed by October.

Would October 30th be a sufficient amount of time for the State to respond and prepare? It gives you two weeks. Does that work for you?

MR. LOUGHERY: I would assume so, Judge.

Obviously, depending on the motion, we'd have to determine what witnesses we'd need to have.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. LOUGHERY: I would ask, just from the standpoint that since the schedule we're on, they would have completed discovery in August and have their experts given to us by early September, I would think they would know their immunity

1 situation pretty quickly after that point. 2 THE COURT: So you're asking for 3 October 16th we'll call it instead of October 30th? 4 MR. LOUGHERY: Sure. THE COURT: Can you get your immunity motion 5 filed by October 16th? 6 7 MR. ESCOBAR: I think so. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So the immunity motion 9 filed by October 16th, if you choose to file it. 10 Of course, we're talking completely 11 hypothetically, because I haven't agreed to 12 continue the trial yet. As it stands now it's 13 still August 24th. 14 But if I were to grant it, what else could we 15 possibly need that we would have to work through? 16 Instead of the August 24th trial, if we're looking 17 at January 11th, what else could you possibly need 18 or I could possibly assist you with getting ready, 19 Mr. Escobar? 20 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, the only thing that 21 we need -- I don't think we need assistance from 22 I think Mr. Garcia is going to provide the Court. 23 us those dates, the deposition dates in early 24 I just need to have five slots so that I

can finish the depos in early August and then move

25

1 on from there. 2 THE COURT: All right. Let's make sure we get 3 that, and you two will have to work on that. 4 County-wide panel or an east side panel? you decided? 5 6 MR. ESCOBAR: East side panel. 7 THE COURT: East side panel. 8 State, are you okay with an east side panel? 9 MR. LOUGHERY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. We have that. 10 11 Anything else, Mr. Escobar, before I go to the 12 State? 13 MR. ESCOBAR: Nothing from the Defense, Your 14 Honor. 15 State, Mr. Escobar wrote in there THE COURT: 16 that it's an unopposed motion to continue. Does 17 that mean you also wish me to continue this trial 18 until the schedule that we've now gone through? 19 MR. LOUGHERY: Briefly, yes. But let me just 20 say that --You had me, yes, but if you want 21 THE COURT: 22 to go farther, go farther --23 MR. LOUGHERY: Well, the unopposed motion has 24 a lot of verbiage in it that I might disagree with. 25 THE COURT: All right. Without adopting the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entirety of the motion --

MR. LOUGHERY: Yes.

THE COURT: -- it's your estimation of the circumstances that it would not be possible at this time for me to try the case on August 24th as we all set out on January 30th?

MR. LOUGHERY: Correct. The circumstances as they exist, unless you have them try the case without listing experts and witnesses not being deposed and doing all that stuff, I'm quite confident in my years that the Court is not going to do that. We are in a situation where I don't see how it's at all possible to do this in August.

Mr. Escobar and I talked about what's contained in the motion as far as the scheduling, and that seems to have enough wiggle room in it that we should be able to lock into these dates the Court already suggested.

That was going to be my next THE COURT: question after I asked if you wanted me to continue it.

The question is the timeline that Mr. Escobar and I just discussed, do you find it to be reasonable?

MR. LOUGHERY: Yes. Under the circumstances,

I'm a little -- I'll just throw this out, and I don't know if Mr. Escobar or Mr. Garcia have talked, but I know he's talking about getting depo time in early August, and I don't know if that's because we've already filled up July or we're skipping July as a time to do depos or not.

THE COURT: He's told me that he has a number scheduled in July.

MR. LOUGHERY: Then with that being the case, that all sounds right.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

Let's see. Have you had a chance to talk with the victim to determine if she is in agreement?

And I can have Mr. Grimaldi speak with the victim if he'd prefer to.

MR. GRIMALDI: Yes. Briefly, Your Honor.

Mr. Escobar and I spoke before filing the motion,

and I stand by the comment that he's made for us.

THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to make sure that you're in agreement.

Okay. Well, if everybody agrees that we can't try this case within 18 months of the event, then August is impractical. So I'll agree to the continuance under those circumstances, given that everybody is asking me to do it.

Now, I'm going to be unreasonable from this point forward. I might as well put that on the record and make sure that we're clear. I'm going to be unreasonable from this point forward about this.

I believe that it's my position to provide as comfortable an environment, as appropriate an environment as possible for the trying of this case, but it's also my job to make sure the case gets tried, and it's got to get tried this time.

So what we're going to do is I'm going to stay on top of this by setting a few more pretrials to track the process and make sure that we meet the dates that we've promised.

So I think the next pretrial is going to have to be — let's see, you said early August that you would complete depositions. So let's look at an early August, a mid-August date.

MR. GARCIA: Judge, and I have some concerns once you look at the first August date.

THE COURT: Tell me about your concerns first.

MR. GARCIA: Well, Judge, my concern is that Mr. Escobar said that he would disclose the experts and the medical records by September 11, 2015.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GARCIA: And then we're going to have the stand your ground motion November 16th. So that roughly gives us two months to depose their experts and look at their medical records and depose the doctors.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GARCIA: I don't want to get into a position where their experts are not available, they have other trials.

So they're going to have to work with their experts and make them available prior to the stand your ground motion. I would assume that they're going to do that.

My other concern is with the medical records. You know, yes, they're going to provide the medical records to us, but we're probably going to want to depose the doctors or the physicians that tended to Mr. Reeves in this case.

And a lot of times we get, well, because of HIPAA we can't talk to you and we're not going to be able to discuss this on the record and take their deposition. So I'm hoping that we're not going to run into those issues.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GARCIA: I'm hoping that they would have

signed the waiver and these doctors are on board, and, you know, we're ready to go.

THE COURT: That's what we're going to come to next, then.

If you're listing medical records and listing doctors, obviously you're going to facilitate by signing a waiver of the confidentiality for the patient, right?

MR. ESCOBAR: As to those medical records, sure.

THE COURT: As to those medical records, of course. They don't need to know anything other than that.

That's a good reason to have an August pretrial to make sure that those things are being accomplished.

State, you can even bring orders with you at that time. If for some reason there's a conflict and I need to sign an order disclosing information, we can do that.

So looking at an August date to check on this, perhaps we could do August 28th at 3:00 in the afternoon — that's a Friday. I don't know what your preference is. It seems like Fridays is usually a day that our calendars are clear.

I think it's before the first Florida game.

So I don't know if anybody is going up early.

It's 3:00 o'clock. We could do that.

MR. GARCIA: That would work for the State.

THE COURT: That would work for the State.

Mr. Escobar?

MR. ESCOBAR: Fine.

THE COURT: All right. So we're going to do it at 3:00 o'clock right here.

I am always going to try and get this room again. I'm going to stop trying to use the other side of the county as that causes consternation to so many. So I'll be booking this room now for all these dates so that we can always meet over here.

That's going to be a purely scheduling and checking the progress of discovery. If you want to waive your client's presence, you can do so in writing one week before the hearing. So if you want to waive your client's presence at that one one week before the hearing, let's do that.

September 11th is the date that you're going to be handing over all your witnesses so you'll be able to tell me if there is any issue by that August 28th date, which, of course, there won't be because we've checked through this.

The next pretrial, and we'll make it a firm pretrial where everybody will have to be present, let's do — when is the immunity agreement or the immunity thing?

MR. LOUGHERY: October 16th.

THE COURT: All right. October 16th. So why don't we do October 23rd, then, the week after it's been filed. So that, then, State, if anything in your review of that document crops up as a problem, you can come to us and talk to us.

If you haven't been able to work it out with Mr. Escobar, I can arbitrate any disputes that are remaining. So we'll do 3:00 o'clock on October 23rd again right here.

MR. GARCIA: Is that a Friday as well, Judge?

THE COURT: It is a Friday. I've been kind of trying to pick Fridays because I know I can get this room on Fridays.

That would next take us to November 16th, in the event that a motion is filed, to begin the immunity hearing. I'm setting it now as November 16th. However, do you have a trial book that you do for Division 1 and 2 now?

MR. GARCIA: Yes.

THE COURT: Or how does that work? Have you

got any big homicides or death penalty cases set that week, and is it one of your trial weeks?

Do you want a moment to go check your calendars? I don't know if Judge Gardner is setting that far out, but if she's already set a death penalty case.

MR. GARCIA: Judge, I don't think we have any trials set that week as of yet.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GARCIA: And if she goes to set one, I can tell her that you have already set the immunity motion the 16th, 17th and t18th, and I won't be available.

THE COURT: Okay. And I will talk to Judge
Babb to make sure she doesn't have any large civil
cases on those three days, as I expect it's going
to tie up the courthouse a little if this happens.

All right. That takes us to November 16th. That will leave all of December to deal with the fallout one way or another from the testimony that we receive during the course of the hearing. It will also give me two weeks to write my order on the motion one way or another.

I'll set a pretrial after the immunity hearing to announce my order on the hearing if the hearing

O

happens, and give you a written copy of my order if the hearing happens.

And that takes us to the first week in December, second week in December for me to provide that to you. And that would leave, then, one month for you all to prepare for trial, having everything set out, if immunity is not granted.

Trial, three weeks is still my expectation.

Is there any reason, based on all the discovery that you've done, Mr. Escobar, that you should believe that three weeks has become an unreasonable length of time?

MR. ESCOBAR: No. I think that that's probably pretty close.

THE COURT: State, you've now had a lot more time to put into focus what your case would be and what witnesses you might call.

Five days of evidence presentation on your behalf or do you think it's legitimately going to go longer than that provided that we work a long week, that we literally go 9:00 to 5:00, no significant breaks?

MR. LOUGHERY: No, Judge. I think three weeks is an outside.

THE COURT: I'm trying to make sure.

MR. LOUGHERY: No. I understand. I think five days. Assuming things go as they typically go, I think that would be enough time.

THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Escobar, again no obligation on your part to put on evidence, but if you decide to present the case that you've obviously been working on, is four days of testimony enough time you think?

MR. ESCOBAR: No. I think it's probably going to be five, maybe six.

THE COURT: Five or six. I want to make sure that there's nothing in late January or early February that would get in our way.

If we started on January 11th, and the State got to present evidence for the first time on January 15th, that would take us to the 22nd. And then the 25th through the 29th would all be available.

If we went to closings, that's going to require at least a day, probably three hours each at least for closings. It might take us to the first week of February.

MR. ESCOBAR: My February is fine, Your Honor.

My first week of February is fine. I believe Dino

has got a trial the second week of February.

- 25

THE COURT: All right. We need to start now with any experts checking to make sure that these dates would work. Anybody that you've been talking to that you're planning on retaining, make sure that these dates work.

State, you know that the bulk of your time is going to come the 19th through the 22nd for testimony. Mr. Escobar, you know the bulk of your time is going to come the 25th through the 28th, possibly even the 29th.

Like I said, if either side is planning on doing the trip to the theater, I expect that to happen either on the 19th or the 25th or 26th, because it's going to be on a Monday or a Tuesday.

Questionnaires we can deal with later.

Courtroom is the same. So we don't have any new issues there.

State, can you think of any other issues that we haven't addressed that we need to address to make sure we're on track yet again?

MS. SUMNER: Judge, what was the December, was it December 4th?

THE COURT: I haven't picked a day in December that I'd give you a ruling on the immunity claim.

MS. SUMNER: All right. So we don't have a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

court date set in December.

THE COURT: I didn't set one in December yet.

MR. LOUGHERY: Well, that's fine, Judge. know, when you pick a date, it will be like a pretrial anyway.

> It will be like a pretrial. THE COURT:

MR. LOUGHERY: Okay.

THE COURT: And I'll just announce my ruling on the immunity motion. It's too far out to project how that would work.

MR. LOUGHERY: And I was saying, if we have some issues at that point, we'll just bring them up with the Court on that same day.

THE COURT: Right. We'll definitely have a pretrial.

That's the day, the day in December, if we make it to December and we're still on track and all things that have been promised, agreed to and are expected to come to fruition, that would be the day in December that we would put a firm decision down on for a questionnaire and the questions that might be utilized in the questionnaire; panel size; a full draw of summonses that would be issued in order to get an east side panel, because I have to get enough jurors in the jury pool room that I can

_

12.

pull this together.

We'd start worrying about seating at that point. I'd be inquiring of both sides if they were going to have jury consultants — sometimes people do that — and where they'd be sitting. I would make arrangements for seating for the victim, for the defendant's family, and we'd readdress media presence at that time.

The last time we discussed media presence and how we would coordinate that was not quite a year ago, but close to a year ago. So expectations and their needs and necessities might have changed between now and then.

So unless anybody had something else. We're not going to be back here again until August 28th at 3:00. So last chance to air grievances or get me to assist you in whatever needs to be done.

You know what I didn't ask about that I wanted to ask about and I just remembered? The shoe, it left and it came back?

MR. ESCOBAR: No, Your Honor. We just got the transcripts. We're preparing the orders for that, but we are scheduling our experts for that process.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's make sure we get the

1 shoe on its week trip with you. What about the other stuff? Did you download 3 their phone? We're going to get everything 4 MR. ESCOBAR: 5 done together. We're going to get the shoe done 6 together. We're going to get the download of the 7 phone done. We're going to get the hard drive of 8 the video. We're going to get it all done with all 9 the experts here at one time. 10 THE COURT: All right. When are we expecting 11 that? 12 I'm hoping not this next MR. ESCOBAR: 13 following week, but the following week after that. 14 THE COURT: Good. All right. Okay. Well, 15 that's everything I have. 16 State, anything else? 17 MR. GARCIA: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Escobar, anything else? 19 MR. ESCOBAR: Nothing, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Great. We'll be in recess on this 21 one, then, until August 28th at 3:00 P.M. 22 Motion to continue is granted. And we're in 23 recess. 24 (HEARING CONCLUDED.) 25

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

STATE ()F I	LORIDA)
)
COUNTY	OF	PASCO	.)

I, MARIA FORTNER, Registered Professional
Reporter for the Sixth Judicial Circuit, do hereby
certify that I was authorized to and did
stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
that the transcript is a true and correct record.

DATED this 24th day of August, 2015.

MARIA FORTNER, RPR