1		UIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
2	OF THE STA	TE OF FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY
3		
4	STATE OF FLORIDA	,
5	Plaint	iff,
6	vs.	Case Number 14-00216CFAE
7	CURTIS REEVES,	
8	Defenda	ant.
9		/
10	PROCEEDINGS:	Status Conference
11	DATE:	March 18, 2016
12	BEFORE:	HONORABLE SUSAN BARTHLE
13		Circuit Court Judge Sixth Judicial Circuit
14		Dade City, Florida
15	PLACE:	Robert D. Sumner Judicial Center 38053 Live Oak Avenue
16		Dade City, FL 33525
17	REPORTER:	Melinda McClain Registered Professional Reporter Notary Public
18		State of Florida at Large
19		
20		fice of Court Administration
21		Court Reporting Department rt D. Sumner Judicial Center
22		38053 Live Oak Avenue Dade City, FL 33525
	Telephone:	(352)521-4375 Fax: (352)521-4118
23		
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	Glen Martin, Assistant State Attorney Stacey Sumner, Assistant State Attorney
5	Office of Bernie McCabe, State Attorney Sixth Judicial Circuit, Pasco County
6	38053 Live Oak Avenue Dade City, Florida 33525
7	bade city, riorida 33323
8	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT CURTIS REEVES:
9	Richard Escobar, Esquire Dino M. Michaels, Esquire
10	Escobar, Ramirez and Associates 2917 W. Kennedy Boulevard
11	Tampa, Florida 33609
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

_	FROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon,
3	everybody. We're here on a status check on stand
4	your ground motion on Curtis Reeves, Case Number
5	2014-216.
6	What who wants to jump in?
7	MR. MARTIN: I'll start it off, Judge.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. MARTIN: Judge, at the present time both the
10	State and the Defense are continuing with our
11	respective discovery obligations. Reciprocal
12	discovery is being received and obtained from both
13	sides. We're in the process of scheduling the
14	depositions that remain to be taken.
15	We do have depositions set in Virginia in two
16	weeks and we'll be going to Jacksonville to finish up
17	another defense expert. Mr. Escobar has listed two
18	other experts, one in the Jacksonville area, one in
19	Tampa, that, hopefully, I will be able to take their
20	depo in April.
21	We did do some additional work at Cobb Theater
22	in December of last year. Those witnesses have been
23	listed, the discovery materials out. I anticipate
24	that by the last two weeks in April that whatever
25	work I need to be I need to have done in

2	expert, that I'm going to take his depo in
3	Jacksonville in three weeks, that that work will be
4	done and those depos will be ready to go. There's
5	three from Pinellas.
6	We also have an expert regarding the phone on
7	both sides. Their depos need to be taken. The work,
8	I believe, has been completed. Of course, the State
9	is not in receipt of any reports from the Defense and
10	I don't expect to receive any. So those depos will
11	have to go forth.
12	What I'm suggesting to the Court is that this
13	Court continues about every six, seven weeks to
14	monitor our progress and our efforts. I will assure
15	the Court that both Mr. Escobar and I are working
16	hard to get this case before you; however, both of us
17	also have other cases and that are going on at the
18	same time and we're really struggling
19	THE COURT: Yeah, I can imagine.
20	MR. MARTIN: to get everything done, but this
21	is a priority.
22	I will alert the Court that as I did
23	before that I anticipate some motion practice
24	THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. MARTIN: -- after the defense experts are

response to their crime scene reconstructionist

1

-	
	done
_	aon-

As the Court recalls, I did file a motion to compel, prior to the depositions. You told me to go take their depos, and you denied it without prejudice so during the depos if I discovered certain items that I'm entitled to, then I could bring that back before the Court.

I also anticipate, quite possibly, maybe a Daubert hearing on one or two issues dealing with some of the aspects, but I can't make that determination until the depos are done. So we may need time for that.

There will be some motion in limines dealing with some of the civilian witnesses that the State plans to run. Hopefully we can get that done during the month of May.

In a brief discussion with the Defense, I think -- and I'll let Mr. Escobar address this also -- but if we can set another status check the first or second week of May, we can advise you of all the depos that are done and what we have left. I do not feel comfortable setting a hearing date yet. There's no reason to get subpoenas out and do all that until we know what it is.

And so what I'm suggesting is that once we are

1	complete with our investigation, that we can very
2	quickly set a hearing date in enough time in order to
3	get subpoenas out and courtroom space and everything
4	available to go. So that's where we're at as far as
5	the State is concerned. Getting the depos done is
6	our first priority.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also will inform the Court that the Second DCA dismissed Mr. Escobar's cert regarding the court order regarding the filing of the depositions. It's my understanding that as of Monday, 5:00, the Defense team filed a motion for rehearing. I would anticipate, within seven to ten days, we will know whether or not that will be summarily denied or if the Second DCA is going to require the State to respond.

So at the next status check, we'll know the status of that particular issue. As soon as that is resolved, we can discuss getting those depositions filed. I will be filing a motion for them to be immediately filed with the -- with the clerk's office, but I'm attaching all the appropriate documentation. So we'll probably need some hearing time for that.

Quite possibly, if we can all agree, maybe that can also just be done by phone and submit it

2	everything. Your order stands and there's no other
3	argument; there's no other recourse remedy for
4	Mr. Escobar at this time.
5	So those are the things that are outstanding
6	that we're working on.
7	And you have any questions of me, Judge, about
8	what the State is doing getting ready for this
9	hearing?
10	THE COURT: No, Mr. Martin. I have reviewed the
11	latest notices and I see we do have depos set out
12	into June. And I anticipated many of the issues you
13	addressed, Daubert hearing of some sort and the issue
14	with the Second.
15	I did see notice that you filed a motion for
16	rehearing and I believe you're requesting a written
17	order of some sort.
18	MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor we are, Your Honor.
19	We requested a motion for rehearing en banc as well
20	for a written opinion so that the Supreme Court of
21	Florida would have jurisdiction to possibly hear that
22	if I get a written opinion. So that's what we're
23	hoping for.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And, quite frankly, I kind of
25	had hoped we might get a written order of some sort

because -- I mean, the Second DCA has denied

on that, too. But I believe Mr. Martin is correct as 1 2 far as any additional hearing. I think that would be 3 it and my order would stand. There wouldn't be any more argument on it. So I guess that would have to 5 be complied with. 6 MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I think my only other 7 option at that point in time would be to go to --8 because if they deny and don't give me a rehearing, 9 then, in essence, they're saying that that 10 administrative order is still a viable order. only recourse would be to go before the chief judge 11 12 and with the procedures that were outlined in that order --13 THE COURT: Oh, that's correct. That's correct. 14 15 MR. ESCOBAR: -- to try to get relief from that chief judge. So I just --16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. ESCOBAR: -- I want everybody to know that 18 19 that -- we have contemplated those particular issues and that would be my last resort. But after that --20 21 you're right, I guess. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, that's --MR. MARTIN: And, Judge, we have -- we have 23 fought hard to have that order in effect and 24

25

enforced.

2	MR. MARTIN: And having said that, the State is
3	not in the position to go forward with the hearing
4	until we've had an opportunity to have those
5	depositions filed as appropriate. And quite frankly,
6	all those some of those depositions were provided
7	to the defense experts and I still don't have the
8	benefit of those. I have notes and, of course, I
9	wasn't present, but I am going forward with those
10	depositions. And, quite frankly, if there's
11	something that came up in the depo that is touches
12	upon what was said in the witness depositions
13	reviewed or whatever, I may be retaking some depos.
14	So I think we need to get this resolved. We
15	need to get those depositions filed with the
16	clerk's office so that we can go forward with this.
17	MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, if I just may speak on
18	that
19	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
20	MR. ESCOBAR: because I think it's important.
21	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
22	MR. ESCOBAR: For and I say this respectfully
23	to Mr. Martin: For the prosecution to make the point
24	that somehow they can't afford to hire a court
25	reporter that took the depos in this case, and pay

1 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

- for their own depos, is mind boggling, to be
  perfectly honest with you.
- Certainly, I think that this circuit is the only circuit that I am aware of that has an administrative order that, in essence, has the court -- and I'm not saying, "this Court," but "the circuit court" --giving an advantage to the prosecution by saying, "by the way, the Defense has to pay \$100,000 in deposition costs. But you know what? I'm going to devise an order that allows you, Prosecutor, to get it for free." If that is not the circuit providing an advantage to the prosecution, I don't know what is.

And so, you know, respectfully, what we've done here is to attack an administrative order that really is no longer in effect because the entire funding process has been revamped in this particular circuit.

So, you know, I understand his argument, but his argument really has no weight because if he wanted those depositions, he could go to Mr. McCabe and say, "Mr. McCabe, I need those depositions. Give me the funds in order to pay for them." He's not entitled to them for free from the Defense.

And I don't believe that if the administrative order was written, I don't believe that the intent --

_	I can t believe that the intent would be and I
2	know Judge Schaffer. I practiced in front of
3	Judge Schaeffer. I cannot believe that
4	Judge Schaeffer wanted to give a financial benefit to
5	the prosecution in any criminal case in this circuit.
6	MR. MARTIN: Judge, we've we've ridden this
7	horse around the block a couple of times. And the
8	bottom line is, as you know, is the judge is the
9	owner of the files. And if the circuit in this
10	circuit wants the depositions in the files, it's your
11	files. And that's where it's going. What agreement
12	the State has with the respective clerk's office is
13	none of Mr. Escobar's business, but the Court's
14	business if they have a right to have their
15	depositions in their file, because you are the keeper
16	of the files for the court.
17	So that's what we have here. His argument is
18	apples and oranges. It's not on point. But like I
19	said, this horse has been ridden before and I don't
20	plan to ride it anymore today.
21	Anything else from the Court for me? I'll be
22	glad to sit down and let Mr. Escobar talk.
23	THE COURT: I think that's all, Mr. Martin.
24	Mr. Escobar?

MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Judge, for your time.

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I pretty much agree with

Mr. Martin with reference to our status. We have

received just recently about seven new witnesses from

the government. We have about six to seven retakes

that are forensic people that have now gone out and

done some more work. They have digitized the movie

theater and, I believe, a great part of those six or

seven retakes are going to be individuals that

participated in part of that -- of that process.

We have depositions scheduled -- and that's our depositions of their new witnesses that they have given us -- starting on April the 11th, the 12th, the 19th, May the 3rd, and June the 8th.

I will tell you that on two of those particular witnesses, one being the FBI that did the analysis of the video for them, as well as their expert that did the imaging and downloading of the cell phone, along with my expert -- they actually -- we had a process where they did it together in order to make it easy for both sides so that we would have the same data.

I can tell you that I have not received a report from the government concerning that work and I don't anticipate because I know that my expert on the phone has not finished his work either. So I put those two witnesses towards the end of my depo schedule in

hopes that we're going to be getting those reports
way before that.

I would suggest to the Court that we set a status not in May because we still have depos running up until June. I would ask the Court to give us a status immediately after our June 8th deposition so that we can then tell the Court where we're at, you know, with our depositions.

I can tell the Court -- and I think I've told
the Court this before on numerous occasions -- I've
got a trial that's starting in Knoxville, Tennessee.
It's a federal trial that starts on June the 14th.
Lately, in the last few weeks, we've had some
rumblings that maybe the prosecution's coming closer
to where, you know, we want to be in that particular
case and maybe we could avoid a trial. But if we
can't, that is going to be a two-month trial. It's
got over two terabytes of information. It's a money
laundering and pill mill case that's the largest ever
in the history of Tennessee. And so I will be in
trial for two months if, unfortunately, we have to
try that case.

So I think if we can do maybe a status before or after the 8th, but before the 14th, I'll be able to give the Court a lot more information as to, you

know, where we're at. And then, hopefully, you know, 1 on that date, you know, we can give the Court some projections about when to set the stand your ground.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would suggest to the Court, because we've done this before when Judge Siracusa was on the bench and we realized the nightmare that, you know, setting this can cause, whenever we have that last status, we probably ought to have another status within 30 days of that status so that we can go out, talk to our witnesses, talk to our experts, find out when everybody is going to be ready for that stand your ground motion, and then come back and set it because especially -- I can tell you from my experts that are all over the country, they're traveling a lot. And I need to give them at least 90 days of notice as to when we're going to be needing them here so that they can put that in their calendars. And so I just tell the Court that so that we can kind of, you know, have a formula to go -- to go forward.

I am -- I am seriously hoping that we can set a stand your ground in this case for sometime late September, early October or sometime in October, period, is what I'm thinking, realistically, we're looking in.

And I spoke to Mr. Martin about that a couple of

1	weeks ago as to what possibly we thought would be a
2	realistic date. So assuming, you know, that my trial
3	doesn't go for two months, I think that, you know, we
4	can really shoot for that.
5	I think that's it. I think we're you know,
6	we're exchanging information; we're doing everything
7	that we're supposed to be doing, so I think it's
8	going smoothly.
9	THE COURT: All right. I tend to agree after
10	reviewing the file. Obviously, I'm not privy to
11	pretty much the nature of all of these witnesses, but
12	I am starting to get a little anxious in reviewing
13	the names and addresses and titles of some of the
14	witnesses.
15	How long is this stand your ground going to
16	take?
17	MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, I I still think
18	that we can do it within, you know, that five-day
19	period that we talked about. You know, obviously the
20	witnesses are going to be scaled down, you know,
21	considerably
22	THE COURT: Yeah.
23	MR. ESCOBAR: for from the Defense point
24	of view. I would imagine that the same is going to

be for the government's case.

1	I can tell you that there are a great deal of
2	motions in limine that we're going to be filing
3	concerning government witnesses that I think if we
4	prevail, it's going to, you know, shorten their
5	witness list considerably. So I still think that,
6	you know, we should be able to do it within that time
7	frame.
8	THE COURT: All right. Typically and I've
9	done probably I don't know six or eight stand
10	your ground motions, maybe more. It's usually, you
11	know, about the immediate folks involved and that's
12	about it.
13	In this case I'm sensing we're going to have
14	discussion of the movie theater construction, all
15	kinds of geometric discussions and witnesses and
16	experts in areas that I never would have dreamed
17	possible.
18	Am I wrong or because that's my concern, that
19	we're if we're going to be involved in that kind
20	of expert testimony, it's been my experience that
21	those experts really aren't I mean, they take a
22	while.
23	MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I don't anticipate that.
24	In fact, you know, our my expert that went in

there, into the theater, and did all the

1	measurements, did some very simplistic measurements.
2	And the digitizing that they did of this movie has
3	not changed those measurements. So, you know,
4	ours ours is not going to be very difficult as far
5	as, you know, the presentation of the measurements,
6	which are going to be important in this particular
7	case.
8	I can tell you, my video experts are not going
9	to be complicated witnesses either. I think they
10	actually have reviewed all of my experts' videos.
11	And Glen told me last week, "Hey, everything that
12	your experts, you know, have provided to me, appear
13	to be, you know, correct." So I don't think we're
14	going to have a problem with, you know, the video.
15	We're going to have Dr. Hayden, who is a "use of
16	force" expert. He's going to be a witness that's
17	going to testify about use of force. So I don't
18	anticipate unless the government, you know,
19	decides to do some glamor shots in here I don't
20	anticipate that it's going to be all that difficult.
21	It's going to be, you know, pretty routine witnesses.
22	THE COURT: Okay. You concur, Mr. Martin?
23	MR. MARTIN: I'm afraid not, Judge. You have to
24	understand that in this particular case, that it is

the -- Mr. Escobar and the Defense burden to prevail

L	in the immunity hearing, which means that I just
2	can't sit back and be a potted plant. The standard
3	is low. I basically have to prove that everything
4	that they're saying is absolutely wrong and I'm
5	prepared to do that and that's going to take some
6	time.

I have gone through this with a fine-tooth comb. I am going to be putting on a case just like I was a prosecutor, and I'm the lead in it, after Mr. Escobar is done, because that's the only way that this Court can make an informed decision as to whether or not he has not met his burden, for me to prove every aspect, every aspect, that he's touting in front of this Court is absolutely wrong and. That's what I'm prepared to do. So I don't agree that five days is going to get it.

THE COURT: Well, at some point I'm going to need a pretty fair idea and -- and estimate of the length of time -- you know, we're going to have -- I guess, obviously, it will have to be done after any motions in limine are heard so we can know pretty much exactly how many witnesses we've got and the gist of their testimony, each one, and, you know, so we can get a good grip on how long this takes.

25 So it's been a while since I was in the civil

1	division, but I recall many trials with multiple
2	experts and, boy, they can eat up the clock. So I -
3	I just want to be prepared. This is going to really
4	put a hit on my whole calendar for, you know, the
5	rest of the year, before and after it's going to be
6	scheduled. So I'd really like to have a good grasp
7	and I don't want I don't want to well, I don't
8	want to waste time; I don't want my time to be for
9	naught, whatever time I set aside. And I don't want
10	to have to come back and continue it piecemeal
11	either. So I really that's one of my big goals.
12	That's why I've really been looking in the file just
13	to try to get an idea, but there's there's just a
14	humongous number of witnesses right now.
15	MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, just so you know,
16	Mr. Martin and I have been talking about some of
17	those issues. And we have agreed we're going to get
18	together to get rid of all these chain of custody
19	issues and that really mean nothing in this case.
20	Him and I have, you know, taken extensive depos
21	and we're going to continue to take extensive depos.
22	I think we're going to, you know, be able to
23	streamline some of that
24	THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ESCOBAR: -- nonsense that doesn't need to

be presented.

1

22

23

11:30.

```
2
               THE COURT: All right. And I'm sure we'll weed
 3
         out a lot of issues in the motions in limine. Even
          if we don't weed out any witnesses, I'm sure we'll
         get a good earful of -- or at least I will -- of what
 5
 6
         we're looking at. So -- all right.
 7
               How about for a status check -- I have -- I did
 8
          see that the last depo I saw a notice of was
 9
         June 8th. That is a Wednesday. I have -- I have
10
         court on June 10th. I don't think that's one of my
         trial weeks. That's a Friday. If you want to do a
11
12
          status check at 1:30 that afternoon, I'd be open to
          that. Yeah, that's a regular -- regular week,
13
         nontrial week for me.
14
15
               How's that look? It's before your trial and
16
         after the depos.
17
              MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I'm good. Is it possible
          to do it in the morning?
18
19
               THE COURT: I have VOPs in the morning and
20
          they're very cumbersome. Sometimes I run straight
21
          through lunch. Today was a nice day. I got done at
```

24 MR. MARTIN: Judge, could I have just a moment 25 with Mr. Escobar?

about 11:00. So I could probably do it maybe at

2 (Attorney conference.) 3 MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, can we do it at the end of 4 May? 5 MR. MARTIN: Because you want a morning, right? 6 MR. ESCOBAR: I would love a morning and I would 7 love not a Friday only because we are working day and 8 night on this other case. And Friday is a great day 9 for us to be in the office and really get some stuff 10 done. THE COURT: I'm out the last week of May, for 11 12 vacation, starting the 27th, into the first week of 13 June, but I have regular -- I think a regular calendar right up to the 26th. 14 15 MR. ESCOBAR: Can we do that on the 26th? THE COURT: Let me see. That's a Thursday. 16 Sure, I think that will be okay. That is -- we can 17 do it at 11:00 on that day. 18 19 MR. ESCOBAR: That's perfect. 20 THE COURT: All right. I have private pretrials 21 on Thursdays. I'm usually done by about that time. 22 May 26th at 11:00. 23 All right. And as far as any decision by the 24 Second DCA, again, I would certainly welcome whatever

they have to say, but they've already denied so --

THE COURT: Uh-huh, certainly.

1

2	Mr. Escobar and I can agree on an order and send you
3	a cover letter of the proposed orders agreed upon by
4	the parties and have it make the file.
5	MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, should we not prevail on
6	these latest motions, I can tell this Court that
7	within ten days of that order, I will be filing a
8	motion before the chief judge
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. ESCOBAR: for consideration. And I'm
11	sure the chief judge is going to make a decision
12	relatively soon. I think Mr. Martin and I will be
13	probably before the chief judge in that regard.
14	THE COURT: I I agree. I anticipate that.
15	And this the order does contemplate that so
16	that remedy. I'm sure Judge Rondolino will be
17	thrilled to decide that. So we'll
18	MR. ESCOBAR: I'm sure he's looking forward to
19	it.
20	THE COURT: Absolutely. I'm sure he's hoping
21	the Second will say something, too, but
22	MR. ESCOBAR: Exactly.
23	THE COURT: All right. Then short of that
24	issue, we'll reconvene on Thursday, May 26th, at
25	11:00 a.m.

MR. MARTIN: If it's just denied, then maybe

```
MR. ESCOBAR: Thank you, Your Honor.
1
              THE COURT: Thank you, everybody.
2
3
     (Proceedings concluded.)
 4
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF PASCO

I, Melinda McClain, Registered

Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true record.

DATED this 10th day of May, 2016.

